

The Guardian

The truth is out: money is just an IOU, and the banks are rolling in it

David Graeber

The Bank of England's dose of honesty throws the theoretical basis for austerity out the window

Tue 18 Mar 2014 06.47 EDT



Your privacy

We use cookies to improve your experience on our site and to show you personalised advertising.

To find out more, read our [privacy policy](#) and [cookie policy](#).

Information that may be used

Purposes

✓ I'm OK with thatOptions

Back in the 1930s, Henry Ford is supposed to have remarked that it was a good thing that most Americans didn't know how banking really works, because if they did, "there'd be a revolution before tomorrow morning".

Last week, something remarkable happened. The Bank of England let the cat out of the bag. In a paper called "Money Creation in the Modern Economy", co-authored by three economists from the Bank's Monetary Analysis Directorate, they stated outright that most common assumptions of how banking works are simply wrong, and that the kind of populist, heterodox positions more ordinarily associated with groups such as Occupy Wall Street are correct. In doing so, they have effectively thrown the entire theoretical basis for austerity out of the window.

To get a sense of how radical the Bank's new position is, consider the conventional view, which continues to be the basis of all respectable debate on public policy. People put their money in banks. Banks then lend that money out at interest - either to consumers, or to entrepreneurs willing to invest it in some profitable enterprise. True, the fractional reserve system does allow banks to lend out considerably more than they hold in reserve, and true, if savings don't suffice, private banks can seek to borrow more from the central bank.

The central bank can print as much money as it wishes. But it is also careful not to print too much. In fact, we are often told this is why independent central banks exist in the first place. If governments could print money themselves, they would surely put out too much of it, and the resulting inflation would throw the economy into chaos. Institutions such as the Bank of England or US Federal Reserve were created to carefully regulate the money supply to prevent inflation. This is why they are forbidden to directly fund the government, say, by buying treasury bonds, but instead fund private economic activity that the government merely taxes.

It's this understanding that allows us to continue to talk about money as if it were a limited resource like bauxite or petroleum, to say "there's just not enough money" to fund social programmes, to speak of the immorality of government debt or of public spending "crowding out" the private sector. What the Bank of England admitted this week is that none of this is really true. To quote from its own initial summary: "Rather than banks receiving deposits when households save and then lending them out,

Your privacy

We use cookies to improve your experience on our site and to show you personalised advertising.

To find out more, read our [privacy policy](#) and [cookie policy](#).

Information that may be used

Purposes

✓ I'm OK with thatOptions

money, not its quantity. Since the beginning of the recession, the US and British central banks have reduced that cost to almost nothing. In fact, with "quantitative easing" they've been effectively pumping as much money as they can into the banks, without producing any inflationary effects.

What this means is that the real limit on the amount of money in circulation is not how much the central bank is willing to lend, but how much government, firms, and ordinary citizens, are willing to borrow. Government spending is the main driver in all this (and the paper does admit, if you read it carefully, that the central bank does fund the government after all). So there's no question of public spending "crowding out" private investment. It's exactly the opposite.

Why did the Bank of England suddenly admit all this? Well, one reason is because it's obviously true. The Bank's job is to actually run the system, and of late, the system has not been running especially well. It's possible that it decided that maintaining the fantasy-land version of economics that has proved so convenient to the rich is simply a luxury it can no longer afford.

But politically, this is taking an enormous risk. Just consider what might happen if mortgage holders realised the money the bank lent them is not, really, the life savings of some thrifty pensioner, but something the bank just whisked into existence through its possession of a magic wand which we, the public, handed over to it.

Historically, the Bank of England has tended to be a bellwether, staking out seeming radical positions that ultimately become new orthodoxies. If that's what's happening here, we might soon be in a position to learn if Henry Ford was right.

America faces an epic choice...

... in the coming year, and the results will define the country for a generation. These are perilous times. Over the last three years, much of what the Guardian holds dear has been threatened - democracy, civility, truth. This US administration is establishing new norms of behaviour. Anger and cruelty disfigure public discourse and lying is commonplace. Truth is being chased away. But with your help we can continue to put it center stage.

Your privacy

We use cookies to improve your experience on our site and to show you personalised advertising.

To find out more, read our [privacy policy](#) and [cookie policy](#).

Information that may be used

Purposes

✓ I'm OK with thatOptions

takes a minute. Thank you.

Support The Guardian

Your privacy


We use cookies to improve your experience on our site and to show you personalised advertising.

To find out more, read our [privacy policy](#) and [cookie policy](#).

Information that may be used

Purposes

✓ **I'm OK with that****Options**



Your privacy

We use cookies to improve your experience on our site and to show you personalised advertising.

To find out more, read our [privacy policy](#) and [cookie policy](#).

Information that may be used

Purposes

✓ I'm OK with that **Options**



Topics

- Bank of England
- Opinion
- Banking
- Economic policy
- Global economy
- Economics
- Austerity
- comment

Your privacy

We use cookies to improve your experience on our site and to show you personalised advertising.

To find out more, read our privacy policy and cookie policy.

Information that may be used

Purposes

✓ I'm OK with thatOptions